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I hereby submit the Semiannual Report for the period October 1, 2012 – March 31, 2013. This report summarizes the major activities and accomplishments of the Office of Inspector General of the National Labor Relations Board and its submission is in accordance with the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended. Section 5 of the Inspector General Act requires that the Chairman transmit this report to the appropriate committees or subcommittees of Congress within 30 days of its receipt.

In the audit program, the Office of Inspector General issued one audit report, conducted the annual review required by the Federal Information Security Management Act, issued a memorandum identifying the Agency’s top management and performance challenges, and completed the Improper Payments Information Act report to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget. In the investigations program, the Office of Inspector General processed 185 contacts, initiated 6 cases, closed 8 cases, and issued 4 investigative reports. Our investigative efforts resulted in one separation while the investigation was pending, one suspension, two reprimands, and two counselings. One matter was referred for prosecution.

I appreciate the support of all Agency employees in achieving the accomplishments set forth in this report.

David Berry
Inspector General
April 30, 2013
The NLRB seeks to serve the public interest by reducing interruptions in commerce caused by industrial strife. It does this by providing orderly processes for protecting and implementing the respective rights of employees, employers, and unions in their relations with one another. The NLRB has two principal functions: (1) to determine and implement, through secret ballot elections, the free democratic choice by employees as to whether they wish to be represented by a union in dealing with their employers and, if so, by which union; and (2) to prevent and remedy unlawful acts, called unfair labor practices, by either employers or unions.

NLRB authority is divided by law and delegation. The five-member Board primarily acts as a quasi-judicial body in deciding cases on formal records. The General Counsel investigates and prosecutes unfair labor practices before administrative law judges, whose decisions may be appealed to the Board, and, on behalf of the Board, conducts secret ballot elections to determine whether employees wish to be represented by a union.

The Board consists of the Chairman and four Members who are appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. Board Members serve staggered terms of 5 years each. The General Counsel is also appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate and serves a term of 4 years.
At the beginning of this reporting period, the Board consisted of Chairman Mark Gaston Pearce and Members Brian Hayes, Sharon Block, and Richard Griffin. During the reporting period, Member Hayes’s term expired. Acting General Counsel Lafe Solomon continued to serve in that position during the reporting period.

During Fiscal Year (FY) 2013, the NLRB is operating under a series of Continuing Resolutions that limited funding at the FY 2012 appropriation level. In March, that level of funding was reduced by rescission and the Government-wide sequestration. The functional funding level of the NLRB is $263,748,933.

NLRB Headquarters is located at 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC. In addition to the Headquarters building, employees are located in 51 field offices throughout the country. Three satellite offices for the administrative law judges are located in Atlanta, San Francisco, and New York City. In December 2012, the Board approved a reorganization of the field offices. As a result of that reorganization, there are now 28 Regional Offices, 16 Resident Offices, and 7 Subregional Offices. In March 2013, the Acting General Counsel proposed additional reorganizing of the field offices that, if approved, would maintain the number of field offices at 51, but reduce the number of Regional Offices to 26 by designating two Regional Offices to be Subregional Offices.

Additional information about the NLRB can be found at www.NLRB.gov.
Resources
Because the NLRB operated under a series of Continuing Resolutions for the entire reporting period, the OIG did not receive an allocation of funds for FY 2013 operations. The OIG did, however, receive sufficient funds, as needed, to maintain its programs. In addition to the Inspector General, the OIG consists of a Counsel/Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, an Assistant Inspector General for Audits, and three auditors. One auditor position is vacant.
The Inspector General is to provide policy direction for and is to conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits relating to program operations of the Agency. During the reporting period, the OIG issued one audit report, conducted the annual review required by the Federal Information Security Management Act, issued a memorandum identifying the Agency’s top management and performance challenges, and completed the Improper Payments Information Act report to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**Reports Issued**

We issued Audit Report OIG-F-17-13-01, *Audit of the NLRB Fiscal Year 2012 Financial Statements*, on December 6, 2012. The audit, performed by an independent public accounting firm, found that the NLRB’s FY 2012 Financial Statements were fairly stated, and no material weaknesses in controls over financial reporting were identified. Although no material weaknesses were identified, an instance involving noncompliance with laws and regulations was reported. That instance was related to procurement activity that was not properly processed by the NLRB in compliance with the *bona fide* needs rule and the Federal Acquisition Regulation that was identified in the End-of-the-Year Spending audit report (OIG-AMR-70-12-02).

The Management Letter issued as part of the financial statement audit process contained two findings with recommendations. These findings related to termination of network accounts when the user departs the Agency and the supervisory review of journal vouchers. The Management Letter also provided information on the status of prior years’ recommendations.

In their comments to the Management Letter, the Chairman and Acting General Counsel stated that they agreed with the findings and recommendations.

We completed the *Federal Information Security Management*
Act of 2002 annual review of information security programs. The OIG review was accomplished as part of the audit of the FY 2012 financial statements and the completion of the OMB template. On November 15, 2012, the Chairman submitted reports from the Inspector General and the Chief Information Officer to OMB.

We issued a memorandum identifying the Agency’s Top Management and Performance Challenges on October 11, 2012. The OIG developed a list of what it considers to be the most serious management and performance challenges facing the NLRB. The challenges are:

1. Managing in the current political environment;
2. Reorganizing and consolidating operations;
3. Implementing the Next Generation Case Management System and seizing opportunities to create more productive and efficient procedures and organizations;
4. Managing the Agency’s financial resources;
5. Managing the Agency’s procurement process to ensure compliance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation;
6. Managing the NLRB’s human capital;
7. Maintaining the Agency’s institutional knowledge;
8. Managing the Agency’s information technology resources in a manner that achieves efficiency and security; and
9. Implementing audit findings in a timely manner.
On March 13, 2013, we complied with the provisions of the Improper Payments Information Act (IPIA), as amended by the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act, and reported to Congress and OMB documenting our review of the Agency’s improper payment reporting in the Agency’s Performance and Accountability Report.

The NLRB stated in its Performance and Accountability Report that it does not make program payments as described in IPIA and that it has no information to report with respect to erroneous program payments. Our report to Congress and OMB stated that we concurred with that determination.

**Audit Follow-up**

Agreed-upon actions were not completed within 1 year on five audit reports.

**Official Time for Union Activities**, OIG-AMR-62-10-01, was issued on December 11, 2009, and we reached agreement with management on that date. Management implemented one of the four recommendations made in the report.

**Case Processing Costs**, OIG-AMR-64-11-02, was issued on April 7, 2011, and we reached agreement with management on June 7, 2011. Management implemented the recommendation during this reporting period.

**Purchase Cards**, OIG-AMR-65-11-03, was issued on September 30, 2011. Management implemented three of the five recommendations made in this report.

**Audit of the NLRB Fiscal Year 2011 Financial Statements**, OIG-F-16-12-01, was issued on December 14, 2011, and we reached agreement with management on that date. Management implemented six of the seven recommendations.

**Internal Controls in the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity**, OIG-AMR-67-12-01, was issued on January 9, 2012, and we reached agreement with management on March 9, 2012. Management has not completed action on the five recommendations.
INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM

The Inspector General is to provide policy direction for and is to conduct, supervise, and coordinate investigations relating to the programs and operations of the Agency. During this reporting period, we processed 185 contacts, initiated 6 cases, closed 8 cases, and issued 4 investigative reports. Our investigative efforts resulted in one separation while the investigation was pending, one suspension, two reprimands, and two counselings. One matter was referred for prosecution.

Investigative Highlights
Management issued a reprimand to an employee who provided misleading information in an investigation. The employee was the subject of an investigative report that was issued in a prior reporting period. OIG-I-452

Management issued a 25-day suspension to an employee who misused the travel card. The employee was the subject of an investigative report that was issued in a prior reporting period. OIG-I-459

Investigated and substantiated an allegation that an employee used Agency information technology resources and official time for activities related to outside employment. Management is considering disciplinary action. OIG-I-464

Two employees were counseled for management issues that we identified in an investigation of official travel. The investigative report was issued in a prior reporting period. OIG-I-466

An employee who was being investigated for a matter related to official travel issues separated from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Workload</th>
<th>Contacts Processed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open (10/1/2012)</td>
<td>Received 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiated</td>
<td>Initiated Investigation 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Opened Case — Referred to Agency 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open (3/31/2013)</td>
<td>Non-Investigative Disposition 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Agency. The investigation is ongoing for an additional subject. OIG-I-472

Investigated a matter and issued a report involving *ex parte* communication. OIG-I-473

Investigated an allegation that a manager was acting in a retaliatory manner towards an employee. We found the allegation was not substantiated. OIG-I-481

Investigated a matter for the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency. OIG-I-482

Investigated and substantiated an allegation that an employee wrongfully appropriated an Agency laptop computer. Management issued a reprimand to the employee. OIG-I-483

Referred an allegation involving a prohibited personnel practice to the Office of the U.S. Special Counsel. OIG-I-486

**Hotline**

Employees and members of the public with information on fraud, waste, and abuse are encouraged to contact the OIG. A log of calls to a nationwide toll-free number or the office numbers and a log of mail, e-mail, and facsimile messages are maintained. All information received, regardless of the method used, is referred to as Hotline contacts. Hotline contacts are analyzed to determine if further inquiry or action is warranted.

During this reporting period, the OIG received 185 Hotline contacts, of which 45 were telephone calls or walk-ins and 140 were in writing.

Most Hotline contacts are from members of the public seeking help on an employment-related problem or issues outside OIG and/or Agency jurisdiction. As appropriate, the OIG refers those Hotline contacts to a NLRB Regional Office; local, state, or Federal agencies; or private resources to provide assistance.
The responsibilities and duties of an OIG include reviewing existing and proposed legislation and regulations relating to the programs and operations of its agency and making recommendations in the semiannual reports concerning the impact of such legislation or regulations on the economy and efficiency in the administration of programs and operations administered or financed by the agency or the prevention and detection of fraud and abuse in such programs and operations.

**Legislation**

During FY 2013, there were seven legislative proposals introduced in Congress that would amend the National Labor Relations Act or otherwise impact the programs and operations of the Agency:

- H.R. 557, Advice and Consent Restoration Act;
- H.R. 795, Protecting American Jobs Act;
- H.R. 976, To declare that certain Agency actions have no force or effect and prohibits further action by the Board until the matters involving the appointment of the Board Members are resolved;
- H.R. 1120, Preventing Greater Uncertainty in Labor Management Relations Act;
- S. 130, NLRB Freeze Act of 2013;
- S. 188 Advice and Consent Restoration Act; and

**Regulations**

The Counsel to the Inspector General is an advisory member of the Agency’s Rules Revision Committee that develops changes to the Agency’s procedural regulations.
Inspector General Community
The Inspector General is a member of the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE). This organization consists of Inspectors General at the Federal Government’s departments and agencies. The Inspector General sits as a member of the CIGIE Audit Committee and the CIGIE Inspection and Evaluation Committee. The Inspector General is also the CIGIE representative to the Chief Financial Officers Council.


Government Accountability Office
The IG Act states that each Inspector General shall give particular regard to the activities of the Comptroller General of the United States, as head of the Government Accountability Office, with a view toward avoiding duplication and ensuring effective coordination and cooperation.

During this reporting period, we had no activity that involved the Government Accountability Office.

The Inspector General is to recommend policies for, and is to conduct, supervise, or coordinate relationships between the Agency and other Federal agencies, state and local governmental agencies, and non-governmental entities. The Inspector General is to give particular regard to the activities of the Comptroller General of the United States. Similarly, we encourage OIG staff members to participate in Agency programs and activities.
Certain information and statistics based on the activities accomplished during this period are required by section 5(a) of the IG Act to be included in the semiannual reports. These are set forth below:

Section 5(a)

(1), (2), (7) OIG did not identify significant problems, abuses or deficiencies relating to the administration of programs. For the purpose of this section, we used the definition of significant as set forth in the Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act.

(3) Except as noted on page 7, corrective action has been completed on all significant recommendations that were described in the previous semiannual reports.

(4) One case was referred to prosecutorial authorities.

(5) No reports were made to the Board that information or assistance requested by the Inspector General was unreasonably refused or not provided.

(6) A listing by subject matter is located on page 13.

(8), (9) No report issued during this period had questioned costs. See Table 1.

(10) There are no audit reports issued before the commencement of the reporting period for which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period.

(11) No significant revised management decisions were made during the reporting period.

(12) There are no significant management decisions with which I am in disagreement.

(13) There is no information to report under the requirements of section 05(b) of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996.

(14) (15) A peer review of the audit program was conducted by the National Credit Union Administration OIG. The peer review report was issued on October 31, 2011. A copy of the peer review report is at the appendix. There were no recommendations. The OIG’s investigative program is not subject to the peer review requirement.

(16) The OIG did not conduct a peer review of any other entities during this reporting period.
### Subject Matter and Title

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Matter and Title</th>
<th>Questioned Costs</th>
<th>Unsupported Costs</th>
<th>Funds To Be Put To Better Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRB Fiscal Year 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Statements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIG-F-17-13-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Reports With Questioned Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. For which no management decision has been made by the commencement of the period</th>
<th>Number of Reports</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
<th>Questioned Costs</th>
<th>Unsupported Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Which were issued during the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotals (A+B)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. For which a management decision was made during the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Dollar value of disallowed costs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Dollar value of costs not disallowed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. For which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports for which no management decision was made within six months of issuance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Reports with Recommendations that Funds be Put to Better Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of Reports</th>
<th>Funds To Be Put To Better Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. For which no management decision has been made by the commencement of the period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Which were issued during the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotals (A+B)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. For which a management decision was made during the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Dollar value of recommendations that were agreed to by management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Dollar value of recommendations that were not agreed to by management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. For which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports for which no management decision was made within six months of issuance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Credit Union Administration

Office of Inspector General

System Review Report

October 31, 2011

To David Berry, Inspector General
National Labor Relations Board

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the audit organization of the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) in effect for the year ended September 30, 2011. A system of quality control encompasses the NLRB OIG's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with Government Auditing Standards. The elements of quality control are described in Government Auditing Standards. The NLRB OIG is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the NLRB OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the NLRB OIG's compliance therewith based on our review.

Our review was conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and guidelines established by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE). During our review, we interviewed NLRB OIG personnel and obtained an understanding of the nature of the NLRB OIG audit organization, and the design of the NLRB OIG's system of quality control sufficient to assess the risks implicit in its audit function. Based on our assessments, we selected engagements and administrative files to test for conformity with professional standards and compliance with the NLRB OIG's system of quality control. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the NLRB OIG's audit organization, with emphasis on higher-risk engagements. Prior to concluding the review, we reassessed the adequacy of the scope of the peer review procedures and met with NLRB OIG management to discuss the results of our review. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the system of quality control for the NLRB OIG's audit organization. In addition, we tested compliance with the NLRB OIG's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the NLRB OIG's policies and procedures on selected engagements. Our review was based on selected tests; therefore, it would not necessarily detect all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of noncompliance with it.
There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control, and therefore noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Enclosure 1 to this report identifies the offices of the NLRB OIG that we visited and the engagements that we reviewed.

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the audit organization of the NLRB OIG in effect for the year ended September 30, 2011, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide the NLRB OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Federal audit organizations can receive a rating of pass, pass with deficiencies, or fail. The NLRB OIG has received a peer review rating of pass.

In addition to reviewing its system of quality control to ensure adherence with Government Auditing Standards, we applied certain limited procedures in accordance with guidance established by the CIGIE related to the NLRB OIG's monitoring of engagements performed by Independent Public Accountants (IPA) under contract where the IPA served as the principal auditor. It should be noted that monitoring of engagements performed by IPAs is not an audit and therefore is not subject to the requirements of Government Auditing Standards. The purpose of our limited procedures was to determine whether the NLRB OIG had controls to ensure IPAs performed contracted work in accordance with professional standards. However, our objective was not to express an opinion and accordingly, we do not express an opinion, on the NLRB OIG's monitoring of work performed by IPAs.

William A. DeSarno  
Inspector General  
National Credit Union Administration

Enclosures
SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Scope and Methodology

We tested compliance with the NLRB OIG audit organization’s system of quality control to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests included a review of two of two audit reports issued during the period October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011. We also reviewed the internal quality control reviews performed by NLRB OIG.

In addition, we reviewed the NLRB OIG’s monitoring of engagements performed by IPAs where the IPA served as the principal auditor during the period October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011. During the period, the NLRB OIG contracted for the audit of its agency’s Fiscal Year 2010 financial statements.

We visited the Washington, DC office of the NLRB OIG.

Reviewed Audit Engagements Performed by the NLRB OIG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No.</th>
<th>Report Date</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIG-AMR-66</td>
<td>3/23/11</td>
<td>Travel Cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIG-AMR-64</td>
<td>4/7/11</td>
<td>Case Processing Costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reviewed Monitoring Files of the NLRB OIG for Contracted Engagements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No.</th>
<th>Report Date</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIG-F-15</td>
<td>12/15/10</td>
<td>Audit Report on the NLRB's Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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