

Northeast Utilities Service Company and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC. Case 1-CA-30615

November 24, 1993

DECISION AND ORDER

BY CHAIRMAN STEPHENS AND MEMBERS
DEVANEY AND RAUDABAUGH

On July 14 and August 24, 1993, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board issued a complaint and notice of hearing and amended complaint and notice of hearing, respectively, alleging that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 1-RC-19907. (Official notice is taken of the "record" in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g); *Frontier Hotel*, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed an answer admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint.

On November 5, 1993, the General Counsel filed a Motion to Transfer Proceeding to the Board and for Summary Judgment, with exhibits attached. On November 9, 1993, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent filed a response.

Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

In its answer the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of the Board's unit determination in the representation proceeding.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See *Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB*, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941). Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.¹

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

¹ Member Raudabaugh notes that he did not participate in the representation case proceeding.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. JURISDICTION

The Respondent, a subsidiary of Northeast Utilities, a corporation with an office and place of business in Holyoke, Massachusetts, has been engaged in the business of providing administrative support to utilities and collectives that buy and sell electric power.

During the calendar year ending December 31, 1992, the Respondent, in conducting its business operations, derived gross revenues in excess of \$250,000 and purchased and received at its Holyoke facility products, goods, and materials valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points outside the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES

A. The Certification

Following the election held May 11, 1993, the Union was certified on May 20, 1993, as the collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All full-time and regular part-time senior pool coordinators and pool coordinators employed by Northeast Utilities Service Corporation at the NEPOOL facility in Holyoke, Massachusetts, but excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act and all other employees.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

B. Refusal to Bargain

Since May 25, 1993, the Union has requested the Respondent to bargain and since June 7, 1993, the Respondent has refused. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By refusing on and after June 7, 1993, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

REMEDY

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union, and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement. To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by the law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. *Mar-Jac Poultry Co.*, 136 NLRB 785 (1962); *Lamar Hotel*, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); *Burnett Construction Co.*, 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Northeast Utilities Service Company, Hartford, Connecticut, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

1. Cease and desist from

(a) Refusing to bargain with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

(b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.

2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.

(a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment, and if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All full-time and regular part-time senior pool coordinators and pool coordinators employed by Northeast Utilities Service Corporation at the NEPOOL facility in Holyoke, Massachusetts, but excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act and all other employees.

(b) Post at its facility in Hartford, Connecticut, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix."² Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 1 after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted

²If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."

by the Respondent immediately upon receipt and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material.

(c) Notify the Regional Director in writing within 20 days from the date of this Order what steps the Respondent has taken to comply.

Dated, Washington, D.C. November 24, 1993

James M. Stephens, Chairman

Dennis M. Devaney, Member

John Neil Raudabaugh, Member

(SEAL) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

APPENDIX

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES
POSTED BY ORDER OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All full-time and regular part-time senior pool coordinators and pool coordinators employed by us at the NEPOOL facility in Holyoke, Massachusetts, but excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act and all other employees.

NORTHEAST UTILITIES SERVICE COMPANY